

Student Senate Meeting Minutes
Gustavus Adolphus College
Monday, November 22, 2010

- I. **Call to Order** by President Tessmer 7:01
- II. **Attendance by Administrative Director, Alison Hoffman**
- III. **Approval of Minutes from November 15, 2010**
 - a. Move to vote to approve minutes, none nay, motion passes
- IV. **Community Comment**
 - a. Grady St. Dennis – Center for Servant Leadership – not present due to weather
- V. **Appointments**
 - a. Prairie View Representative - none
 - b. Pittman Hall Representative - none
 - c. Residential Off-campus Representative - none
 - d. IDPC Appointments – Nadvia Davis and Xi He
 - i. Sean did not hear responses from Senators wanting to join committee, Nadvia Davis and Xi He are not on Senate and have been suggested for the committee
 - ii. Prince moves to appoint Davis, Gust seconds
 - Discussion
 - Move to vote, motion passes
 - iii. Prince moves to appoint Xi, Gust seconds
 - Discussion
 - Move to vote, motion passes
- VI. **Old Business**
 - a. **Ethics Bylaws**
 - i. Article 8, Line three
 - Currently states:

“This USB drive may only be removed from Archives by the Ombudsperson with the Senate Adviser acting as witness, or by the Senate Adviser alone.”
 - Amend to Say:

“This USB drive may only be removed from Archives by the Ombudsperson with another Ethics Committee member acting as witness, or by the Senate Adviser alone.”

- Discussion:
 - a. Helt: point of information, what is on the USB?
 - Article 8, document integrity 1-5
- Move to vote on this bylaw change, none nay, motion passes

ii. Article IV Applications

- Currently says:
 - 1) *Any candidate seeking an office and campaigning in any manner must complete an election application and submit it to the Election Committee before engaging in any campaigning activities.*
 - 2) *If the application is submitted after the deadline, the candidate's name will not appear on the ballot but the form is still required for active campaigning.*
- Revise to add:
 - 3) *Candidates campaigning for membership within Student Senate may only petition for candidacy and campaign for one office per election.*
- Discussion:
 - a. Prince: good, makes sense
 - b. Gust: What is the difference here?
 - Bryz-Gornia: Stems from issue during fall election, nothing explicitly says you may only run for 1 position
 - Gust: What about write-in votes?
 - Prince: key word is “campaigning”, seems non controversial
 - c. Stetson: It's good
 - d. Nowariak: You can't tell people to vote for you as a write in because that would be considered active campaigning
 - Lundborg: point of info, only 3) is being considered
 - e. Helt: if you win as a write in you have to sign the same form as other candidates, just after the fact
 - f. Prince: Can we police write-in candidates anyway?
 - Tessmer: if they have posters up, that would be active campaigning
 - g. Prince: seems like we are getting off topic
 - Calls to question, Nowariak seconds
 - Requires 2/3, motion passes
- Move to vote, one nay, passes

iii. Article I, The Co-presidents Elect Shall, number 4)

- Currently says:

4) attend all full Senate meetings;

- Revise to say:

4) attend all full Senate meetings; unless studying abroad;

- Discussion:

a. Nowariak:

- This bylaw says we don't value the position, should just eliminate the position all together, doesn't send a good message, the position is valuable
- Co-presidents elect are essentially co-presidents, we should hold them to a higher standard
- When you are gone from campus you can't feel the vibe from campus, feel what people feel, little interaction between people mean a lot more than just getting the news, you can't get that we you aren't here
- Makes the people who are studying abroad that they realize that they need a plan, shows responsibility, we need and want people who do this
- Life is full of tough choices and if you happen to be studying abroad when you want to run for co-president you have to make a choice, we want the kind of person that would put senate first

b. Gust: I don't think Gustavus should be considered an all or nothing school in anything. If students vote for you, they know you're abroad and still want you. Just because you aren't here doesn't mean you can't sympathize with the culture that is going on here, sympathize with friends. About precedent, we have already allowed someone to run while abroad even though they didn't win.

- Thayer: Precedent was that they could run but they still had to meet all requirements in the bylaws, this change says they can run and if they win they are excused from certain duties

c. Gust: "unless studying abroad" should be re-worded

d. Prince: in support of the concept behind the change, understand we want someone dedicated to Senate, saying you can't study abroad when that is a major reason to come to GAC is inappropriate

- Prince moves to amend wording, Gust Seconds, to:

"unless studying abroad and a plan for attendance is approved by the ethics committee"

- Discussion on amendment:

- Lundborg: likes the intent of the amendment, suggests “and” be replaced with “in which case” entertains friendly amendment, Prince so moves, passes
- Lundborg: entertains seconds friendly amendment to change “is approved” to “must be approved”, Nowariak so moves, no opposition, passes
- Lundborg: would we like this to be an Ethics committee thing or a full Senate thing?
- Tessmer: Ethics committee is bound by a confidentiality agreement, urges that this be handled by the ethics committee not the entire Senate. This is an issue that should come out during campaigning, not be something that should be prohibited by the ethics committee.
- Barnard: this gives way too much power to the ethics committee
- Prince: I don’t have a problem giving the ethics committee this authority, finance has a lot of authority too, makes sense the ethics committee would have a lot of influence over election and ethics. We shouldn’t have to sit here as a full Senate about this, would be dealt with a lot more effectively and more confidentially inside a committee. As for the concern about echoing what happened a few years ago, if everything goes wrong the system will always fall apart, but we can’t be afraid that everything will happen, we have necessary mechanisms in place to deal with it effectively.
- Gust: I don’t like wording, we want them to have this plan of attendance with their application, meaning that the candidate is already out of the country
- Gust: move to amend, no second, fails
- Hirdler: I like it that it goes to the ethics committee, they have a lot of power in their hands, we have to trust them, we elected them. Worried about how grey it is, what is an approved plan of attendance.
- McAdams: We are approved by Senate as a whole, its not random people who showed up to take the job. We have committees for the purpose of small details like this.
- Kampfe: this amendment is ridiculous, it unnecessarily ties the co-presidents if they are out of communication for a bit, if we are going to trust people to study abroad and the student body still elects them it means they are good candidates and will be responsible enough to stay in contact
- Lundborg: doesn’t see the logic, senators and students have the right to demand that the people we elect show us that they are going to do their job, doesn’t think its too much to ask they have an attendance schedule. Because we are putting this in duties and not elections, would rather have them show it to elections committee and not to ethics committee after elected

- Prince calls to question, Gust seconds, motion passes
- Moves to vote to amend, division, motion passes
- Discussion Continues:
 - a. Kampfe: I think that the position of the co-president is 80/20, service is most valuable during the 80% of time when NOT in meetings than running the meeting 20% of the times. If you are qualified and elected, students trust you, you should be allowed to study abroad on that basis. When written I don't think anyone had it in mind to bar studying abroad. Consider burnout of co-presidents. The assertion that we must be forced to choose between running for co-presidents and studying abroad is a ridiculous assertion. They would not be abroad for any part of their term, being gone for the semester prior to their term.
 - b. Thayer: wants to give everyone more information about this, dealt with it directly. Ran with a candidate studying abroad, he was the most qualified people to be running. People should have the opportunity to study abroad but think about the candidate who isn't studying abroad, almost flunked out trying to get elected on her own, there is a lot that takes place during the semester that senators don't see, you have to create cabinet before end of the school year, we should try to provide them with as many tools as possible. Thinks that having something to accommodate not only the study abroad person but also the candidate running with them
 - c. McAdams: fully feasible to allow study abroad students to run as long as they have some idea of what they can and cannot do while abroad
 - d. Lundborg: Is Senate okay with the cheapening of the co-president elect position, basically saying they don't need to exist, don't need to be here and don't need to fill the position. How will cabinet appointments work?
- Nowariak motions to add a time constraint, Prince seconds:

“by the first day of classes of the semester of which they are running”

 - Discussion on amendment:
 - Prince: it doesn't work at all to do this before hand
 - Prince moves to amend, Gust seconds, passes

“by two weeks after the election has taken place”
 - Hirdler: what happens if the person abroad is not approved to not be in attendance, it would be more clear to say “when you turn in your application”
 - Thayer: that's what we did, we faxed. Submitting a plan prior to the election, you should have an idea prior to this, we created a plan in less than 24 hours, working with the ethics committee after getting elected worked easier because having a plan submitted with your application could hinder your candidacy.
 - Prince: grey area with regards to very specific things, might scare those of us who love the bylaws, this all just points to shoving it

into a committee, ethics committee is capable of meaningful discourse, developing a plan, etc. The fact like we are in duties it means this is all after election have taken place, anything to take place before elections would be an entirely new amendment.

- Thayer: this also could make sure that the ethics committee will work with the candidates to work something out and make sure they don't just bar them from running

b. Move to vote on amendment, motion passes

▪ Discussion continues

a. Tessmer: are we okay with having only one co-president elect to do the grunt work?

b. Helt: At this point there is a potential problem no matter what. Doesn't agree that doing this cheapens the position. People who run realize what they have to do to get the job done, whether studying abroad or not. Senate should always be inclusive and welcoming. Everyone is always going to have different styles of leadership, allow people to make the job their own. Keep in mind, something that does determine when people decide to study abroad is because of monetary reasons. The idea of technology is important, but some people cannot be reached and will not have access to technology. Is study abroad also including internships that may be local?

c. Flannery: I agree with what has been previously said that those who are studying abroad and choose to run have to be very committed, as should their partner.

d. Nowariak calls to question, Skjerping seconds, passes

▪ Move to vote on bylaw change, motion passes – ***See Roll Call Vote #1***

“4) attend all full Senate meetings, unless studying abroad in which case a plan of attendance must be approved by the ethics committee by two weeks after the election has taken place”

b. Ten minute recess

i. Kampfe motions for 10 minute recess, Gust seconds,

ii. Move to vote, motion passes

iii. Recess 8:21 to 8:33

c. Ethics Bylaws continues

i. Redo roll call votes

▪ Previous two bylaws were invalid votes, must be roll call

▪ USB amendment, passes – ***See Roll Call Vote #2***

▪ Article IV amendment, passes – ***See Roll Call Vote #3***

ii. Article I, The Co-presidents Elect Shall, (number 5)

- Currently says:

“5) attend cabinet meetings at the discretion of the Co-Presidents;”
- Amend to say:

“5) attend cabinet meetings at the discretion of the Co-Presidents, unless studying abroad;”
- Discussion:
 - a. Prince moves to friendly amendment “unless studying abroad in which case a plan of attendance must be approved by the ethics committee by two weeks after the election has taken place”
Emmett seconds
- Move to vote on bylaw change, passes - ***See Roll Call Vote #4***

iii. Article I, The Co-presidents Elect Shall, (overall)

- Currently says:
 - 1) *set a calendar for the following year, including all Senate and executive events and duties;*
 - 2) *present the calendar to the full Senate for approval in April;*
 - 3) *set the executive fund budget for the following year in accordance with the annual budgeting process;*
 - 4) *attend all full Senate meetings;*
 - 5) *attend cabinet meetings at the discretion of the Co-Presidents;*
 - 6) *reserve meeting rooms and tables for Senate meetings and events based on their calendar.*
- Amend to say:
 - 1) *set a calendar for the following year, including all Senate and executive events and duties;*
 - 2) *present the calendar to the full Senate for approval in April;*
 - 3) *set the executive fund budget for the following year in accordance with the annual budgeting process;*
 - 4) *attend all full Senate meetings, unless studying abroad in which case a plan of attendance must be approved by the ethics committee by two weeks after the election has taken place;*
 - 5) *attend cabinet meetings at the discretion of the Co-Presidents, unless studying abroad in which case a plan of attendance must be approved by the ethics committee by two weeks after the election has taken place;*

6) reserve meeting rooms and tables for Senate meetings and events based on their calendar.

- Discussion
 - a. Gust, Stetson amend “study abroad” to “studying off campus”
 - Kampfe: that makes it even more ambiguous
 - Lundborg: this allows people studying in Mankato skip Senate meetings, internships where you can conceivably make it back on Monday nights
 - Prince: has anyone looked in Gustie guide to get exact wording?
 - Barnard: defined in general catalogue
 - Prince: call to question, gust, motion passes
 - Move to vote, none nay, motion passes
- Move to approve bylaw change overall, passes
- Kampfe moves to reconsider, Skjerping seconds
- Discussion continues
 - a. Prince, moves to change first “study abroad” to “studying off campus”, Gust seconds, motion passes
 - b. Bryz- Gornia: unhappy with “after two weeks” feels like a plan should be in place before elections
 - c. Prince: point of clarification we are in duties
- Move to vote entire article change, passes - ***See Roll Call Vote #5***

iv. Constitution Article I Section II

- Currently states:
 - 2) Student: any person taking courses at Gustavus Adolphus College, either full-time or part-time.*
- Amend to say:
 - 2) Student: any person taking courses at Gustavus Adolphus College, either full-time or part-time, or a Gustavus Adolphus College approved study-abroad institution.*
- Discussion
 - a. Prince: can we substitute the Gustie Guide’s definition? This specifies an approved study-abroad institution, not the same as a study-away or off campus endeavor.
 - Barnard: doesn’t give a complete definition
 - b. Thayer: what about students who take a study abroad semester at an un-approved semester but will be a student the following semester
 - Gust: they aren’t considered students

- c. Kampfe: we should get rid of the “approved study abroad”
 - d. Prince: this is useless because its all superceded by Gustie guide
 - calls to question, gust seconds
 - motion passes
 - Move to approve constitutional change, all nay, motion fails
 - a. **See Roll Call Vote #6**
- v. Article III Section 3
- Currently states:

“Co-Presidents Elect: Two students shall be elected in the spring semester as Co-Presidents prior to the academic year in which they will serve. Immediately following the election, the Co-Presidents Elect begin carrying out their duties prescribed in the by-laws. The Co-Presidents-Elect have full speaking privileges but no voting privileges and must resign from any other Senate positions.”
 - Amend to say:

“Co-Presidents Elect: Two students shall be elected in the spring semester as Co-Presidents prior to the academic year in which they will serve. Immediately following the election, the Co-Presidents Elect begin carrying out their duties prescribed in the by-laws. The Co-Presidents-Elect have full speaking privileges but no voting privileges and must resign from any other Senate positions except the position of Co-President.”
 - Discussion
 - a. Bryz-Gornia: contradiction between constitution and the amendment, cant fill two cabinet positions at the same time, can’t be a co-president and a co-president elect at the same time.
 - b. Prince: thinks this a brilliant change, next week we can fix the conflicts with the amendments, call to question, no second
 - c. Nowariak: the spirit of this is to allow current co-presidents to serve another term
 - Move to vote to put this on the ballot, roll call, passes
 - a. **See Roll Call Vote #7**

VII. New Business

a. Finance Bylaws

- i. Kampfe moves to table, gust seconded, Motion passes

b. Ethics Bylaws

i. Article Two, Line Three

- Kampfe motions to consider, Prince seconds
- Amend to include:
“unless a prior attendance policy has been approved by the ethics committee” at the end
- Discussion: none
- Move to vote to consider, motion passes

ii. Article Three, Section One (Constitution)

- Kampfe motions to consider, Gust seconds
- Amend to include:
“unless the person involved will be holding the seats of co-president elect and co-president”
- Discussion: none
- Move to vote to consider, motion passes

c. Finance Bylaws

i. Nowariak, Prince, move to take finance bylaws off the table

ii. Article 1 – Duties: Controller shall

- Amend to add:
11) keep an inventory of all items purchased with Student Senate funds that are intended to be in use for more than one year.
- Discussion - none
- Move to vote to consider

iii. Article 1 – Duties, Finance Chair shall

- Amend to add:
11) Divide every student organization which submitted a budget in the previous year among the members of the Finance Committee. Each member will then serve to advise their assigned organizations through the Finance Process.
- Discussion:
 - a.** Hirdler: helps committee reach out to groups
 - b.** Kampfe: background, this came from another school at MAPCS, it has been very productive
- Move to vote, considered

iv. Article Five – Yearly Budgeting Process (8 weeks prior...)

- Amend to say:

2) Advertise for Student Organization Yearly Budgeting Meetings. Attendance at this meeting is mandatory for at least one leader of every organization in order to receive funding through the Spring Budgeting Process.

- Discussion:

a. Hirdler: want to clarify more

- Move to vote to consider, motion passes

v. Article Six – Section 1, Requirements 1)

- Amend to say:

1) To be funded by Student Senate, the organization must be officially recognized by the Student Activities Office.

- Discussion: none

- Move to vote, bylaw considered

vi. Article Six – Section 1, Requirements 4)

- Amend to say:

4) For all activities, organizations requesting funding from Senate must include the date, place, methods of publicity, and ~~of a~~ quote of the cost from the speaker, entertainer, or organization upon presentation of their proposal to the Finance Committee. Without such information, the organization's request will be postponed until more research has been done.

- Discussion:

a. Hirdler: Will make sure Senate funds will be allocated to Speakers truthfully, so we don't have groups inflating numbers, makes sure the committee knows how much the speakers cost and they aren't being lied to

- Move to vote, bylaw considered

vii. Article Six – Section 1, Requirements 5)

- Amend to insert:

5) The Senate Controller and Finance Chair will review every organization's budget at the end of each semester and rescind all money allocated for scheduled events for which Requests For Payment were not made. This applies to any allocation designated for a specific semester.

- Discussion:
 - a. Hirdler: If a group comes to us saying they want funding for a speaker and money gets allocated but the event never gets organized, the money can be audited and rescinded at the end of the semester
- Move to vote, bylaw is considered

viii. Article Six – Section 1, Requirements 8)

- Amend to insert:
 - e) an inventory of all items previously purchased with Student Senate funds that are intended to be in use for more than one year by that group.*
- Discussion - none
- Move to vote to consider, by law is considered

ix. Article Six – Section Two, Allocating Guidelines 6)

- Amend to say:
 - 6) Funding for food will not be allocated in Spring Budget Proposals. Funding for food will be reviewed on a case by case basis during the Mid-Year Allocation Request process in the following academic year.*
- Discussion:
 - a. Every group will know from the get-go that food will not be funded and will be considered on a case by case basis, more fair, there is no limit
 - b. Gust: is there any evidence that by having that limit of \$300 we have limited the amount of people asking for funding?
 - c. Flannery: we have come to problems numerous times with number six in finance committee, we cannot keep it the way it is, please approve to consideration
- Move to vote to consider bylaw change, considered

x. Article Six – Section Two, Allocating Guidelines 7)

- Amend to say:
 - 7) Total travel expenses for an organization including transportation and lodging for its members shall not exceed \$600 for a given budget year.*
- Discussion:
 - a. Hirdler: Travel fee for a speaker is part of the speaker fee, the transportation funding is for members only
- Move to vote, bylaw is considered

xi. Article Six – Section Two, Allocating Guidelines 8)

- Amend to say:

8) Transportation expenses will be reimbursed at the standard IRS business mileage rate.

- Discussion:

a. Tessmer: grammatical change?

b. Nowariak in disagreement, bylaw change

- Vote to consider, passes

xii. Article Six – Section Two, Allocating Guidelines 9)

- Amend to say:

9) Student Senate may pay for convention-registration fees and ticketed events up to \$500 for a given budget year.

- Discussion: none

- Vote to consider, passes

xiii. Article Six – Section Two, Allocating Guidelines 15)

- Amend to say:

15) Student Senate will not allocate funding of any requests for an event that has already taken place.

- Discussion:

a. This has been a common practice for past year and a half, wanted to put it in words

- Vote to consider, passes

xiv. Article Six – Section Two, Allocating Guidelines 16)

- Amend to say:

15) These guidelines are subject to the interpretation of the Finance Committee and/or to the Student Senate.

- Discussion: none

- Vote to consider, bylaw considered

xv. Article Six - Section 4, Block Allocations 1)

- Amend to add:

1) Groups which re-allocate Student Senate funds to other organizations will be defined as Block Allocation Groups.

- Discussion:

- Move to consider, passes

xvi. Article Six - Section 4, Block Allocations 2)

- Amend to say:
 - 2) Block Allocation Groups must have a copy of their allocating guidelines on file with Student Senate.*
- Discussion: none
- Move to consider, passes

xvii. Article Six - Section Five, Penalties 1)

- Amend to say:
 - 1) Any organization that fails to meet requirements outlined in these bylaws may be subject to penalties at the recommendation of the Finance Committee with the approval of the Student Senate.*
- Discussion:
 - a.** Gust: I don't think lying is addressed even with all the new changes, if the intent of this is to have an over-reaching power, not sure if this does that
 - b.** Hirdler: all the requirements mean that all those things have to be proven to Senate, we could change it further
 - c.** Gust: this seems really vague, we're going to get into nit-picky things, maybe come with something a little more concrete, it will take a long time next week to get to the heart of this
 - d.** Kampfe: likes the ambiguity present in this clause, give finance committee some teeth, ambiguity may be helpful in this case
 - e.** Hirdler: previous language he considered was revised
 - f.** Gust: Likes a more direct approach
- Move to consider, passes

xviii. Article Six - Section Five, Penalties 2)

- Amend to say:
 - 2) If found by the finance committee that an organization misled, purposely presented falsehoods or mismanaged funds they will immediately be put on probation. The finance committee will recommend a length of probation and the full senate will decide the financial future of the organization*
- Discussion: none
- Move to consider, bylaw is considered

d. Senate Apparel

- i.** Jacob Lundborg: Senate fleece and t-shirt, would like PR committee to design before the end of the 2011 school year

e. Bylaws continued

i. Article 1: Technologies Director Shall

- Amend to add:

7) Create a back-up of the student senate computers prior to the end of the academic year

- Discussion: none
- Vote to consider, passes

VIII. Announcements

a. ORC Report – Joey Nowariak

- i.** Hopefully in two weeks will have a proposal for getting grills on campus

b. Lundborg: disbandment of customs committee

c. Ostendorf: DLC is being uncooperative, wondering how committed Senate is to this, wanted this done before reading break and now its December

- i.** Hirdler: As someone who has reached out to a lot of organizations a lot, once they stop responding, we tried
- ii.** Blake: keep careful track of the efforts you have made, make sure its documented
- iii.** Kampfe: if you happen to run into group leaders or members, even if we aren't meeting with DLC in an organizational capacity they can still come into Senate or Cabinet
- iv.** Thayer: maybe we could have a Senate mixer thing and invite all organizations

d. Pub/ Dive

- i.** Thayer: had a meeting with Steve and Tom, they made it sound not very promising, not that it wont happen, but that it probably won't happen this year, brought up a lot of points we hadn't thought of. There is a larger issue on campus to have places to hangout like a common area, people are kind of using the caf for that right now. We were told that beer could be put in the dive whenever we want, but not a good idea because it's a place where no one goes but a lot of the appliances aren't even functional and it would be very costly to start serving food. At grinell, it failed three times until they found something that sort of worked. Other schools have it subsidized but don't make any profit (Grinnell, St. Bens). We were charged with sending out surveys. We also need to consider how the places located above the dive would be affected by changes in the div e. We also talked about trying a new 21+ dive night, we had one two years ago where alcohol was served in the dive. The first time is was held it was more popular than thought it would be, second time less popular because students found out there was no hard alcohol served and were only served one beer per hour. We need to make a group of faculty/students/senators to work on this and create institutional memory. Laws and regulations, we do have a liquor license on campus but this allows police to do random checks, meaning police will have a

greater presence on campus. On a positive note, we can use the argument that Commission 150 states that student common spaces will be created, especially in the event that Linner Lounge is being taken away from students.

- ii. Lundborg: Gustavus is very different from other schools, it could succeed here
- e. Ostendorf: interfaith non-denominational worship space, vacant room across from the radio station, owned by radio station

IX. Motion to Adjourn by Gust, Sande econds, 10:22

		Roll Call Votes - 11/22/2010						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Article I, 4)	Article VIII, Line 3	Article IV	Article 1, 5)	Article 1 Overall	Constitution A1, S2	Article 3 S3
Chang, Angela	<i>International Rep</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flannery, Jessica	<i>Complex</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Fogelberg, Sara	<i>Sohre Hall</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Gust, Blake	<i>Sophomore Class</i>	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y
Hagadorn, Casey	<i>First Year Rep</i>	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-
Juran, John	<i>Off-campus</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Kampfe, Clark	<i>International Center</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Kunkel, Eric	<i>Southwest Hall</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
May, Zoe	<i>Norelius Hall</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
McAdams, Stetson	<i>Norelius Hall</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Nelson, Megan	<i>Rundstrom</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Nowariak, Joey	<i>Senior Class Rep</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Prince, Nick	<i>Junior Class Rep</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Russell, Emmett	<i>Complex</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Sande, Josh	<i>Uhler Hall</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Schmitt, Andrew	<i>Arbor View</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Skjerping, Sean Cain	<i>College View</i>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y